Larry Hogan Governor Boyd K. Rutherford Lt. Governor Pete K. Rahn Secretary

October 31, 2019

Mr. Gregory Murrill
Division Administrator
Attn: Dr. Kwame Arhin
Federal Highway Administration
Maryland Division
George H. Fallon Federal Building
31 Hopkins Plaza, Suite 1520
Baltimore MD 21201

Ms. Terry Garcia Crews Regional Administrator Attn: Mr. Ryan Long Federal Transit Administration 1760 Market Street. Suite 500 Philadelphia PA 19103

Dear Mr. Murrill and Ms. Crews:

The Maryland Department of Transportation (MDOT) hereby modifies the Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 Maryland Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to reflect changes to the Wilmington Area Planning Commission (WILMAPCO) Cecil County highway portion of the FY 2019-2022 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This modification for the Mechanics Valley Road bridge project separates out the needed right-of-way funding from the previously approved construction funding. The MDOT has assigned Control #19-27 for this modification to the STIP. The approvals and supporting documentation are attached.

Project Name	STIP#	TIP#	Funding Source	Туре	FY19-FY22 Net Federal Change (in 000's)
Mechanics Valley Road Bridge over CSX	CE-0042	CE-0042	STBG	Administrative Modification	\$0

(shown in 000's)

Mr. Gregory Murrill Ms. Terry Garcia Crews Page Two

The Maryland Statewide Transportation Improvement Program continues to be fiscally constrained.

Should you have additional questions or concerns, please contact Mr. Ian Beam at 410-865-1280, toll free 888-713-1414 or via e-mail at ibeam@mdot.state.md.us. Of course, please feel free to contact me directly.

Sincerely,

Tyson Byrne

Regional Planning Manager

Tyn Byn

Office of Planning and Capital Programming

Attachment

cc: Mr. Ian Beam, Regional Planner, OPCP, MDOT



TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM SUBMISSION/AMENDMENT FORM

This form must be completed and all questions must be answered in order to process this request. Date of Submission: October 30, 2019 TIP to be Amended: FY20-23 Sponsoring Agency: Cecil County, Maryland Project Name: Cecil County Bridge CE-0042, Mechanics Valley Rd. over CSX **Project Category:** System Preservation

Project Description:

Replacement of Mechanics Valley Road Bridge CE-0042 over CSX Railroad. Project will replace the existing bridge that is currently in poor condition and is functionally obsolete, with a new, modern bridge on a new alignment that will also improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road with Bouchelle Road.

Project Justification:

Project will replace the existing bridge that is currently in poor condition and is functionally obsolete, with a new, modern bridge on a new alignment that will also improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road with Bouchelle Road.

Fundi	ng:				
	Federal 80%	(STBG)	State	Local 20%	Total 100%

Funding Source	Phase	Current Estimate Total	FY_2020_	FY_2021	FY <u>2022</u>	FY <u>2023</u>	Total
Federal	ROW & Utilities		696				696
Local	ROW & Utilities		174	1			174
Federal	PE		540		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		540
Local	PE		135	. 4			135
Federal	Constr.		7,756				7,756
Local	Constr.		1,937				1,937
Total	21.37 -91		11,238				11,238

1. Does this project require a new conformity determination? No. (Section 93.104(c)(2)) "A TIP amendment requires a new conformity determination for the entire TIP before the amendment is approved by the MPO or accepted by DOT, unless the amendment merely adds or deletes exempt projects listed in § 93.126 or § 93.127".
2. Is this project regionally significant? Yes
(Section 23 CFR 450.326 (f)) "The TIP shall contain all regionally significant projects requiring an action by the FHWA or the FTA whether or not the projects are to be funded under title 23 U.S.C. Chapters 1 and 2 or title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 (e.g., addition of an interchange to the Interstate System with State, local, and/or private funds and congressionally designated projects not funded under 23 U.S.C. or 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53). For public information and conformity purposes, the TIP shall include all regionally significant projects proposed to be funded with Federal funds other than those administered by the FHWA or the FTA, as well as all regionally significant projects to be funded with non-Federal funds."
3. Has this project had the opportunity for public comment? Yes
(Section 450.328(a)) "The MPO(s) shall use public participation procedures consistent with § 450.316(a) in revising the TIP, except that these procedures are not required for administrative modifications."
4. Has this project been found to be financially constrained? Yes
(Section 450.326(k)) "The TIP shall include a project, or a phase of a project, only if full funding can reasonably be anticipated to be available for the project within the time period contemplated for completion of the project"
Please indicate funding sources by agency:
Cecil County Federal Aid Bridge Replacement Program
5. Is this project consistent with the WILMAPCO Regional Transportation Plan? Yes
(Section 450.326i) "Each project or project phase included in the TIP shall be consistent with the approved metropolitan transportation plan."
If not, is there a resolution to amend the Regional Transportation Plan?
6. Does the project include complete streets elements (i.e. pedestrian, bicycle, or transit improvements? Please describe:
No. It is a bridge a replacement.

7. Please provide any additional pertinent information below:



Transportation Improvement Program Submission/Amendment

Description of Public Participation

Consensus of meeting: Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road and Bouchelle Road Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns identified Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? X Web page Publications Distribution: Yideos Sead Newsletter/brochure Videos Piyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer	Project Name: _	Cecil County Bridge CE-0042, Mechanics Valley Road over CSX Railroad
X Public workshops/meetings Number of public workshops/meetings: 1 Format: Open Information Meeting with display boards and multiple representatives from the County answering questions from attendees. Location(s): North East Fire Hall, North East, Maryland Number of attendees: 30 signed the attendance sheet, however estimated a total of 45 attendees Main issue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance improved Consensus of meeting: Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road and Bouchelle Road Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised identified Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? X Web page Publications Distribution: Results: Elected officials briefings Other Newsletter/brochure Flyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments?		
Number of public workshops/meetings: Format: _Open Information Meeting with display boards and multiple representatives from the County answering questions from attendees. Location(s): _North East Fire Hall, North East, Maryland Number of attendees: _30 signed the attendance sheet, however estimated a total of 45 attendees Main issue raised: _Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance is improved Consensus of meeting: _Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road and Bouchelle Road Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): X		
Format: Open Information Meeting with display boards and multiple representatives from the County answering questions from attendees. Location(s): North East Fire Hall, North East, Maryland Number of attendees: 30 signed the attendance sheet, however estimated a total of 45 attendees Main issue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance is improved. Consensus of meeting: Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road and Bouchelle Road Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns strong opposition, many concerns raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Result	X Public wor	
Answering questions from attendees Location(s): _North East Fire Hall, North East, Maryland Number of attendees:		
Number of attendees: 30 signed the attendance sheet, however estimated a total of 45 attendees Main issue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance to improved. Consensus of meeting: Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road and Bouchelle Road Overall, the public support for the project was (check one):		
Main issue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance in improved Consensus of meeting: Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road and Bouchelle Road Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns identified Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Results: Public notified about the project? X Web page Publications Distribution: Flyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments, however the County and the design engineer		Location(s): North East Fire Hall, North East, Maryland
Consensus of meeting: Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road and Bouchelle Road Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns identified Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? X Web page Publications Distribution: Yideos Sead Newsletter/brochure Videos Piyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer		Number of attendees: 30 signed the attendance sheet, however estimated a total of 45 attendees
Intersection of Mechanics Valley Road and Bouchelle Road Overall, the public support for the project was (check one):	¥	Main issue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance be improved
X_Strong support, few concernsSome opposition, many concerns raisedSome support, but some concernsStrong opposition, major problems raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? X_Web page Publications Newsletter/brochure Yideos Flyers Radio/television X_Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer		
Some support, but some concernsStrong opposition, major problems raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? X		Overall, the public support for the project was (check one):
raised identified Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Publications Distribution: Publications Distribution: Pipers X_ Web page Publications Distribution: Newsletter/brochure Pipers X_ Legal notice in Local Paper Newsletter/brochure Pipers X_ Stational Pipers Radio/television X_ Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer		X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised
Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed:		
Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? X Web page Publications Distribution: X Legal notice in Local Paper Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer		Mixed, equal support and opposition
Number surveyed:		Unresolved issues identified:
Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? X	Citizer	Advisory/Steering Committee
Results:	Survey	
Elected officials briefings Other How was the public notified about the project? X Web page Publications Distribution: X Legal notice in Local Paper Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer		Number surveyed:
How was the public notified about the project? X Web page Publications Distribution: X Legal notice in Local Paper Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer		Results:
How was the public notified about the project? X Web page Publications Distribution: X Legal notice in Local Paper Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer	Electe	d officials briefings
X Legal notice in Local Paper Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer	Other	
X Legal notice in Local Paper Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer	How was the pu	ublic notified about the project?
VideosFlyers Radio/television X	X Web	page Publications Distribution:
Radio/television X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer	XLegal	notice in Local Paper Newsletter/brochure
X Other The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational meeting with the date and time identified How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer	Vide	os Flyers
Mow has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer	Radio	o/television
How has the project changed as a result of public comments? No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer	XOthe	er The County installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informational
No significant changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer	meeting with t	the date and time identified
	How has the pr	oject changed as a result of public comments?
	No significa	nt changes resulted from the public comments, however the County and the design engineer
were informed of finially fields with each of the property which has after with the project documents.		med of unique items with each of the property which has aided with the project documents.

Comment further on the quantity and quality of the public participation:
--

Overall the meeting went well and well attended when compared to other prior project meetings.

The meeting was able to reduce public concern about the scale and impacts of the project.

Ian Beam

From: Sent: Stephen O'Connor <SOConnor@ccgov.org> Wednesday, October 30, 2019 3:51 PM

To:

Heather Dunigan

Cc: Subject: lan Beam; John Fabian RE: Request for Administrative Modification to the FY2019-FY2022 Transportation

Improvement Program

Yes. That is our request.



Stephen J. O'Connor, AICP
Chief, Division of Planning & Zoning
Department of Land Use & Development Services
Cecil County Government
200 Chesapeake Blvd., Suite 2300
Elkton, Maryland 21921
P: 410-996-5220

From: Heather Dunigan https://doi.org/hdunigan@wilmapco.org/hdunigan@wilmapco.org/
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 3:35 PM

To: Stephen O'Connor < SOConnor@ccgov.org>

Cc: Ian Beam <ibeam@mdot.maryland.gov>; John Fabian <JFabian@ccgov.org>

Subject: RE: Request for Administrative Modification to the FY2019-FY2022 Transportation Improvement Program

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Cecil County IT Network Systems. **Reminder:** <u>DO NOT</u> click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the IT Department.

Thanks, just confirming that this is an administrative modification and not an amendment? If so, we are fine with the changes as submitted and won't require further WILMAPCO action.

From: Stephen O'Connor [mailto:SOConnor@ccgov.org]

Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 3:00 PM **To:** Heather Dunigan < hdunigan@wilmapco.org >

Cc: Ian Beam <ibeam@mdot.maryland.gov>; John Fabian <<u>JFabian@ccgov.org</u>>

Subject: Request for Administrative Modification to the FY2019-FY2022 Transportation Improvement Program

Heather,

Please see the attached request for your use. The original is going out in tomorrow's mail.

The Tower at STAR Campus 100 Discovery Blvd, Suite 800 Newark DE 19713 302-737-6205; Fax 302-737-9584 From Cecil County: 888-808-7088 e-mail: wilmapco@wilmapco.org

WILMAPCO Council:

John Sisson, Chair Delaware Transit Corporation Chief Executive Officer

Robert J. Alt Mayor of Elkton

Jennifer Cohan Delaware Dept. of Transportation Secretary

Connie C. Holland
Delaware Office of State Planning
Coordination, Director

Alan McCarthy Cecil County Executive

Matthew Meyer New Castle County Executive

Heather Murphy
Maryland Dept. of Transportation
Director, Office of Planning and
Capital Programming

Michael S. Purzycki Mayor of Wilmington

Michael Spencer Mayor of Newport

WILMAPCO Executive Director Tigist Zegeye

RESOLUTION BY THE WILMINGTON AREA PLANNING COUNCIL (WILMAPCO)

AMENDING THE FY 2019-2022 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, CECIL COUNTY ELEMENT

WHEREAS, the Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO) has been designated the Metropolitan Planning Organization for Cecil County, Maryland and New Castle County, Delaware by the Governors of Maryland and Delaware, respectively; and

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Transportation's (USDOT) Regulations of Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST), Metropolitan Planning Requirements, require that, in air quality non-attainment areas, the MPO, in cooperation with participants in the planning process, develop and, at least every four years, updates the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, the WILMAPCO TIP format incorporates a four-year period for the listing of priority projects to be implemented, as well as a list of program development projects; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2019-2022 TIP has undergone appropriate community and technical reviews; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the TIP has been determined to be air quality conforming in accordance with FAST and Clean Air Act and Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 requirements; and;

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2019-2022 TIP have been found to be financially constrained, as directed by 23 CFR 450.326, and consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Wilmington Area Planning Council does hereby amend the FY 2019-2022 Transportation Improvement Program to include funding for Cecil County Bridge CE-0042 on Mechanics Valley Road over CSX Railroad project.

January 10, 2019
Date:

John Sisson, Chairperson

Wilmington Area Planning Council



TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM SUBMISSION/AMENDMENT FORM

This form must	be complet	ed and <u>all</u> question	ns must be a	inswered in or	der to proces	s this request	
Date of Submis	sion: <u>Dece</u>	ember 3, 2018	TIP to	be Amended:	FY2019-FY2	022	
Sponsoring Age	ncy: <u>Cecil</u>	County Departmen	nt of Public	Works			
Project Name:	Cecil Coun	ty Bridge CE-0042,	Mechanics	Valley Road ov	ver CSX Railro	ad	
Project Categor	y: Transpo	ortation Improvem	ent Program	<u>1</u>			
Project Descript							
Project will repla	ce the existing	g bridge that is current improve the intersection	ly in poor cond	dition and is functions.	tionally obsolete	with a new mod	lern bridge on
a new angiunem	mat will also	improve the intersection	or iviconum.	os varey roud w			
Project Justifica	ition:						
Bridge Preservati	ion						
							y. i
Funding:							
	al_80%	State		Local	20%	Total_10	00%
Funding Source	Phase	Current Estimate Total	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	Total
Federal	Final		871				871
Local	Final		217				217
Federal	Constr.			8,120			8,120
Local	Constr.			2,030			2,030

10,150

1,088

11,238

\$s x 1,000

Total